

## **Periodic Review Checklist**

This document is intended for use by counties, cities and towns subject to the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) to conduct the "periodic review" of their Shoreline Master Programs (SMPs). This review is intended to keep SMPs current with amendments to state laws or rules, changes to local plans and regulations, and changes to address local circumstances, new information or improved data. The review is required under the SMA at RCW 90.58.080(4). Ecology's rule outlining procedures for conducting these reviews is at WAC 173-26-090.

This checklist summarizes amendments to state law, rules and applicable updated guidance adopted between 2007 and 2019 that may trigger the need for local SMP amendments during periodic reviews.

## How to use this checklist

See the associated *Periodic Review Checklist Guidance* for a description of each item, relevant links, review considerations, and example language.

At the **beginning of the periodic review**, use the review column to document review considerations and determine if local amendments are needed to maintain compliance. See WAC 173-26-090(3)(b)(i).

Ecology recommends reviewing all items on the checklist. Some items on the checklist prior to the local SMP adoption may be relevant.

At the end of your review process, use the checklist as a final summary identifying your final action, indicating where the SMP addresses applicable amended laws, or indicate where no action is needed. See WAC 173-26-090(3)(d)(ii)(D), and WAC 173-26-110(9)(b).

Local governments should coordinate with their assigned <u>Ecology regional planner</u> for more information on how to use this checklist and conduct the periodic review.



Prepared By	Jurisdiction	Date
Jeff Watson	City of Grandview	6/6/2021

Row	Summary of change	Review *	Action
2019			
2019 a.	OFM adjusted the cost threshold for building freshwater docks	SMP Section 16.D.03.07.7 includes an outdated cost threshold.	Mandatory: The City may add the following threshold dollar figure for when an SDP is triggered for freshwater docks:  In fresh waters the fair market value of the dock does not exceed: a. twenty-two thousand five hundred dollars (\$22,500) for docks that are constructed to replace existing docks, are of equal or lesser square footage than the existing dock being replaced; or b. Eleven thousand two hundred (\$11,200) dollars for all other docks constructed in fresh waters. However, if subsequent construction occurs within five years of completion of the prior construction, and the combined fair market value of the subsequent and prior construction exceeds the amount specified above, the subsequent construction shall be considered a substantial development for the purpose of this chapter. UDATED
b.	The Legislature removed the requirement for a shoreline permit	There are no DMMP site on the shoreline. Therefore, this	LANGUAGE ADDED TO XX.03.07  No action necessary
	for disposal of dredged materials at Dredged Material	legislative amendment does not apply.	



	Management Program sites (applies to 9 jurisdictions)		
c.	The Legislature added restoring native kelp, eelgrass beds and native oysters as fish habitat enhancement projects.	SMP 16D.03.07.15 references fish habitat projects, including reference to RCW 77.55.181, capturing the legislative update.	No action necessary - see XX.03.07.15 no change from Regional SMP. It was carried over into the city's SMP
2017			
a.	OFM adjusted the <b>cost threshold for substantial development</b> to \$7,047.	SMP 16D.03.07.3, Exemptions for Hydrologically Related Critical Areas Wetlands and Shorelines, references the out of date dollar figure, as well as the RCW reference, which adjusts automatically for inflation:	The City references the updated dollar figure, in addition to leaving the existing RCW reference for future updates see XX.03.07.3.
b.	Ecology permit rules clarified the <b>definition of "development"</b> does not include dismantling or removing structures.	The current SMP definition for development does not include the amended rule (SMP 16D.02.135, Definitions):	The City added language to the Definition of Development addressing dismantling or removing structures see XX.02.135.
c.	Ecology adopted rules clarifying exceptions to local review under the SMA.	SMP Section 16.D.03.05 does not include the updated exceptions.	Language addressing exceptions to local review added see XX.02.03 Exceptions to Local Review.
d.	Ecology amended rules clarifying permit filing procedures consistent with a 2011 statute.	SMP Chapter 16D.03, Application and Review Procedures, do not describe the filing process. The SMP was updated in 2010 prior this legislative update.	Language referencing WAC 173-27-130 is identified under XX.03.11.3.
e.	Ecology amended <b>forestry use regulations</b> to clarify that forest practices that only involves timber cutting are not SMA "developments" and do not require SDPs.	City contains limited forested lands, that if cleared would not trigger a Class IV Forest Practices Permit (lands converted to another use/not likely reforested due to conversion to urban development.	No action necessary
f.	Ecology clarified the SMA does not apply to lands under exclusive federal jurisdiction	The City may contain Federal lands.	Add new language to SMP, using Ecology's model language:  'Areas and uses in those areas that are under exclusive federal jurisdiction as established through federal or state statutes are not subject to



			the jurisdiction of chapter
			90.58 RCW.
			Language added to XX.02.06.1
g.	Ecology clarified "default" provisions for <b>nonconforming</b> uses and development.	SMP Chapter 16D.02, provides definitions for "nonconforming use" and "nonconforming structure". City has adopted tailored provisions during last update.	The City has updated definitions for Nonconforming Development or Nonconforming Structure, Nonconforming Lot and Nonconforming Use, see XX.02.302, 303 and 304.
h.	Ecology adopted rule amendments to clarify the scope and process for conducting <b>periodic reviews</b> .	This is optional and the current SMP does not address the periodic review provision.	No action necessary.
i.	Ecology adopted a new rule creating an <b>optional SMP</b> amendment process that allows for a shared local/state public comment period.	The SMP does not address the amendment process nor is it required to.	No action necessary.
j.	<b>Submittal</b> to Ecology of proposed SMP amendments.	This is optional and the Regional SMP does not currently address the amendment process amendment routing requirements pursuant to the requirements of WAC 173-26.	No action necessary.
2016			
a.	The Legislature created a new shoreline permit exemption for retrofitting existing structure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.	The Regional SMP does not address the ADA exemption in Section 16D.03.07.	Added new exemption to SMP, using Ecology's recommended language:  'The external or internal retrofitting of an existing structure with the exclusive purpose of compliance with the American with Disabilities Act of 1990 or to otherwise provide physical access to the structure by individuals with disabilities.'  Ecology's suggested language added see XX.03.07.18.
b.	Ecology updated wetlands	Yakima County Regional SMP	The city of Grandview GMC 17.07.070.L notes Wash. State
	critical areas guidance including	references outdated 2004	17.07.070.L notes wasn. State



	implementation guidance for the 2014 wetlands rating system.	manual for Eastern Washington.  Note: The City updated their CAO in 2012 referencing Publication No. 04-06- 030. The City desires to reference the most current publication.	Wetland Rating System for Eastern Wash. – Publication No. 04-06- 030, or as amended.
2015			
a.	The Legislature adopted a <b>90-day target</b> for local review of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) projects.	The SMP does not address this.	Optional: Consider amending SMP to define special procedures for WSDOT projects per WAC 173-27-125.  Language added as XX.03.06.
2014			
2014 a.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for <b>floating on-water residences</b> legally established before 7/1/2014.	The SMP is silent on floating on-water residences. – There are no floating on-water residences in the City of Selah, therefore no amendment is required.	No action necessary.
2012			
a.	The Legislature amended the SMA to clarify <b>SMP appeal procedures</b> .	The current SMP does not address appeal procedures. This procedure is already outlined in the WAC.	No action necessary.
2011		'	
a.	Ecology adopted a rule requiring that wetlands be delineated in accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual.	Regional SMP 16D.03.18.3 contains outdated wetland delineation manual reference.	Mandatory: Strikeout existing language and replace with Grandview CAO language.  The exact location of a wetland's boundary shall be determined in accordance with the procedure outlined in WAC 173-22-035 through the performance of a field investigation by a qualified professional wetland scientist applying the approved wetland delineation manual and



			applicable regional supplements.
b.	Ecology adopted rules for new commercial <b>geoduck</b> aquaculture.	City would only contain freshwater shoreline only, this rule is not applicable.	No action necessary.
c.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for <b>floating homes</b> permitted or legally established prior to January 1, 2011.	Regional SMP prohibits floating homes and houseboats by omission as a permitted use in SMP Chapter 16D.05.32, Floodway Fringe Uses. No floating homes legally established prior to January 1, 2011	No action necessary.
d.	The Legislature authorizing a new option to classify existing structures as conforming.	The SMP does not classify existing non-conforming residential structures as conforming.	Optional: 03.19.(1)C Any non- conforming structure, area, or use may be maintained with ordinary care according to the provisions in 16D.01.05 (Applicability) and 16D.03.046 (Exemptions – Procedural Requirements), and do not require additional review under these Non-Conforming provisions.
2010	'		
a.	The Legislature adopted Growth Management Act – Shoreline Management Act clarifications.	Regional SMP adopted in 2010 by County Board of Commissioners prior to law going into effect.  City-wide critical areas regulations have not been adopted by reference in the SMP.	Recommended: Revise relevant sections in SMP, to clarify effective date of amendments shall be 14 days from Ecology's written notice of final action.  Clarifying language about the effective date of the SMP added as XX.01.08.
2009			
a.	The Legislature created new "relief" procedures for instances in which a <b>shoreline restoration project within a UGA</b> creates a shift in Ordinary High Water Mark.	Reginal SMP does not address such relief procedures.	Recommended: Update relief procedure for shoreline restoration projects within a UGA by referencing (WAC 173-27-215) or use following Ecology example language:



			The City may grant relief from shoreline master program development standards and use regulations resulting from shoreline restoration projects within urban growth areas consistent with criteria and procedures in WAC 173-27-215.  Ecology's suggested language added as XX.05.02.8.
b.	Ecology adopted a rule for certifying wetland mitigation banks.	The SMP Section 16D.07.06 authorizes certified mitigation banks.	No action necessary.
c.	The Legislature added <b>moratoria authority</b> and procedures to the SMA.	Yakima County Regional SMP does not address moratoria authority. This procedure is already outlined in the WAC.	No action necessary.
2007			
a.	The Legislature clarified options for defining "floodway" as either the area that has been established in FEMA maps, or the floodway criteria set in the SMA.	Floodway is defined in the Regional SMP Section 16D.02.220 and does not include legislation clarifications related to the SMP and elected use of FEMA maps to define floodways in the city.	Mandatory: Remove and replace existing definition to:  "Floodway" means the area that has been established in effective federal emergency management agency flood insurance rate maps or floodway maps. The floodway does not include lands that can reasonably be expected to be protected from flood waters by flood control devices maintained by or maintained under license from the federal government, the state or a political subdivision of the state.  Updated definition for "Floodway" in XX.02.220 for consistency with the SMA.
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that <b>comprehensively updated</b>	No new shoreline waterbodies identified since 2010 SMP	Mandatory:



	SMPs shall include a list and map of streams and lakes that are in shoreline jurisdiction.	update. However, SMP not explicit on shorelines located in City as City adopted Regional County SMP.	List Rivers of state wide significance in SMP introduction. Add map of shorelines of the state to a map with corresponding SEDs.  Optional: Remove all shorelines listed in Regional SMP not in the City.
c.	Ecology's rule listing statutory exemptions from the requirement for an SDP was amended to include fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the provisions of RCW 77.55.181.	SMP Section 16D.03.07.15.d lists a fish habitat enhancement exemption.	No action necessary.

<sup>\*</sup> This column attempts to capture the primary relevant location(s) of content related to the item described in the Summary of Change column; however, due to length of the SMP and the City's Municipal Code, all relevant locations may not be listed.

To facilitate the update, YVCOG staff followed a linear process which can be traced in the strike-through/underlined document with the guidance of the following steps:

- 1. City Comprehensive Plan Goals, Policies, and Objectives were added to chapter .01.
- 2. The County's critical areas ordinance references were eliminated.
  - a. 16D.05 Flood Hazard Areas
  - b. 16D.07 Wetlands
  - c. 16D.08 Geologically Hazardous Areas
  - d. 16D.09 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas
  - e. 16D.11 Upland Wildlife and Habitat Conservation Areas
- 3. Chapter 16D.10 Shorelines, was cut (as indicated in the strike-through document) then pasted back into 16D.06 Fish and Habitat and the Stream Corridor System as Article.05.03 and .05.04.
- 4. Remaining enumeration was eliminated (16D.07, 16D.08, 16D.09, 16D.10, and 16D.11)
- 5. City Critical Areas Ordinance was integrated as Chapter .07.

## Specific GPO changes are as follows:

#	Issue	Relevant Location	Review & Action
1	Public access to Tribal lands	Goals and Policies NS 7.36	Deleted: Provisions for access to shorelines. Grandview city limits is 500 feet from waters edge which is on YIN Trust land. No jurisdiction or relevance.
2	Proportion of State, federal and public lands	NS 7.36.1	Deleted: No Federal state or public lands in the area.
3	Reliance on other agencies to provide access to their lands	NS 7.36.2	Deleted: No Federal state or public lands in the area.



4	Open to unimproved	NS 7.36.3	Deleted: No Federal state or
4	access	110 7.30.3	public lands in the area.
5	Transportation	NS 7.36.4	Deleted: No transportation
	corridors visual access	1,5 7,50.1	corridor limited visual access
6	Commercial water	NS 7.36.5	Deleted: All shorelines belong
	oriented activities		to City of Grandview.
7	Recreational water	NS 7.36.6	Deleted: Liability and
	oriented activities		conflicting land uses constrain
			public access
8	Reliance on	NS 7.36.7	Deleted: All shorelines belong
	commercial activities		to City of Grandview
	for additional access		
9	Development standards	NS 7.36.8	Deleted: All shorelines belong
	for public		to City of Grandview
	developments		
10	Promote and enhance	NS 7.37	Deleted: Liability and
	diversified types of		conflicting land uses constrain
4.4	public access	270 5 00	public access
11	Access to recreational	NS 7.38	Liability and conflicting land
10	areas	NG 7-40	uses constrain public access
12	Access and water	NS 7.40	Deleted: Liability and
	dependent use conflict		conflicting land uses constrain public access
13	Construction of road	NS 7.41	Deleted: Liability and
13	and railroad facilities	145 7.41	conflicting land uses
	and ramoad racinties		development of any kind
15	Preservation of	NS 7.55	Deleted: Liability and
13	recreational	110 7.55	conflicting land uses
	opportunities		development of any kind
16	Public lands for	NS 7.57	Deleted: Liability and
	recreational facilities		conflicting land uses
			development of any kind
18	Location of access	NS 7.61	Deleted: Liability and
	roads and parking		conflicting land uses
			development of any kind
19	Loops or spurs of old	NS 7.63	Deleted None in the area
	highways retained as		
	bike-ped routes		
26	Comprehensive Plan	Verbatim from city's Comp Plan	Section .01 GPOs added
	Goals and Policies	Sections 1 and 3	
	Addition of relevant	Verbatim from CAO	Section .07
	sections of CAO to		
	regulate critical areas		
	within the Shoreline		
	Jurisdiction		

Integration and Consistency of Critical Areas Ordinance



The City of Grandview adopted a Critical Areas Ordinance (2012-11) and incorporated into Chapter GMC 18.06 in 2012. The update was approved by City Council; and an update was adopted 2017 adding language pertaining to the training of the Administrative Official, and amending sections:

- 1. 18.06.035 Definitions
- 2. 18.06.410 Designation, rating, and mapping wetlands
- 3. 18.06.440 Performance standards Compensatory mitigation requirements
- 4. 18.06.610 Designation of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas

References from the Yakima County Critical Areas Ordinance have been removed from the updated SMP, and the regulatory elements from GMC 18.06 incorporated into the SMP to regulate critical areas within the SMP.

## Comprehensive Plan, Development Regulation and Code Consistency

The City of Grandview Comprehensive Plan does not include a Natural Systems Element Chapter. However, references to the SMP and the document authority are included in the Critical Areas, Natural Resources and Land Use sections of the Comprehensive Plan. A review of the current SMP was conducted to ensure consistency with the Comprehensive Plan updated in 2016. No changes to the SMP were identified at this time for consistency with the comprehensive plan.

The following ordinances adopted by the Grandview City Council pertaining to Development Regulations were reviewed by Staff for conformance and consistency with the Shoreline Master Program; no conflicts or inconsistencies were found.

- 2008-12 Pertaining to shoreline management planning and implementation, adopting amendments to the comprehensive plan and the Yakima county regional shoreline master program.
- 2010-5 Amending section 16.16.050 regarding final plats.
- 2011-13 Changing the zoning classification of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of Grandview as requested by the Royal Legacy Group, inc.
- 2011-14 Amending the Grandview comprehensive plan future land use designation map as requested by the city of Grandview.
- 2011-15 Changing the zoning designation of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of Grandview as requested by the city of Grandview.
- 2011-29 Repealing existing Title 17 Zoning of the Grandview Municipal Code and the existing zoning map; adopting a new title 17- zoning of the Grandview Municipal Code and a new zoning map; rezoning certain parcels within the city accordingly; and providing for other matters properly related thereto.
- 2012-11 Adopting standards for the protection of critical areas by enacting a new chapter 18.06 of the Grandview municipal code.



- 2013-2 Amending the Comprehensive Plan future land use map designation, Changing the zoning classification of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of Grandview as requested by Bleyhl Farm Service, inc.
- 2013-6 Amending Grandview municipal code section 17.26.030 and section 17.26.050 pertaining to allowable structure heights in the R-1S single-family residential suburban district.
- 2013-7 Amending Grandview municipal code section 17.30.030 and section 17.30.050 pertaining to allowable height of Residential garages and accessory structures in the R-1 low density residential district.
- 2013-9 Amending Grandview municipal code section 17.35.030 and section 17.35.050 pertaining to structure heights in the R-3 High density residential district.
- 2013-12 Amending Grandview municipal code section 17.70.180 relating to public garages and motor vehicle repair shops and adopting a new sections 17.70.200 17.70.220 pertaining to cargo containers.
- 2013-13 Amending Grandview municipal code sections 17.75.020, 17.75.040 And 17.75.050 relating to landscaping.
- 2014-6 Providing for the annexation of property known as the Russell annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, and incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, requiring said property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a comprehensive land use plan, and changing the official zoning map of the city.
- 2014-7 Providing for the annexation of property known as the port of Grandview annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, and incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, requiring said property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a comprehensive land use plan, and changing the official zoning map of the city.
- 2015-6 Amending Grandview municipal code chapter 16.28 improvements.
- 2015-14 Providing for the annexation of property known as the port of Grandview/Higgins/castle annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, an incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, Requiring said property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a Comprehensive land use plan, and Changing the official Zoning map of the city.
- 2016-11 Adopting a new chapter 17.94 of the Grandview municipal code establishing a reasonable accommodation process in the city's land use ordinance to accommodate statutory rights of the disabled.
- 2016-32 Adopting the Grandview growth management act periodic update, including an updated comprehensive plan, development regulations and critical areas ordinance.
- 2017-1 Amending sections of the Grandview municipal code title 14 Administration of development regulations, title 16 Subdivisions and title 17 zoning to comply with the adoption of the Grandview growth management act periodic update development regulations.



- 2017-2 Amending sections of the Grandview municipal code title 18 Environmental protection chapter 18.06 critical areas to comply with the adoption of the Grandview growth management act periodic update critical areas ordinance.
- 2017-6 Amending Grandview municipal code chapter 17.92 Comprehensive plan.
- 2018-1 Providing for the annexation of property known as the port of Grandview & Higgins family annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, and incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, requiring said property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a comprehensive land use plan, and changing the official zoning map of the city.
- 2018-4 Changing the zoning classification of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of Grandview as requested by Ferman & Carmen Alcaraz for 705 Wolfe Lane, parcel no. 230923-42440.
- 2018-8 Changing the zoning classification of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of Grandview as requested by LPI Holdings, LLC. and Ramandeep Malhi & Sukhjinder Kaur for parcel no. 230922-43470, 912 Hillcrest, Grandview, Washington.
- 2018-19 Changing the zoning classification of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of Grandview as requested by Noe Gonzalez for parcel no. 230924-23513, 628 East fourth street, Grandview, Washington.
- 2019-10 Providing for the annexation of property known as the Brett & Teresa smith dba quail run manufactured home park annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, and incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, Providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, requiring said property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a comprehensive land use plan, and Changing the official zoning map of the city.
- 2020-1 Providing for the annexation of property known as the john and Jacque Lafever annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, and incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, requiring said property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a comprehensive land use plan, and Changing the official zoning map of the city.
- 2020-2 Providing for the annexation of property known as the Charvet Brothers' Farms annexation to the city of Grandview pursuant to the petition method, and incorporating the same within the corporate limits thereof, providing for the assumption of existing indebtedness, requiring said Property to be assessed and taxed at the same rate and basis as other property within said city, adopting a comprehensive Land use plan, and Changing the official zoning map of the city.
- 2020-14 Changing the zoning classification of certain lands and amending the zoning map of the city of as requested by Matthew & Lydia Charvet for parcel nos. 230922-32472, 230922-32474 and 230922-32475, 501 and 505 Appleway, Grandview, Washington.